FILED IN OPEN COURT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA EASTERN DIVISION

Julie A. Richards, Clerk
US District Court
Sastem District of NC

CASE NO. 4:14, MJ-1017

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
v.)	DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO CONTINUE
<u>'</u>	(18 USC § 3161(h)(8))
Monique May Jacot TAn)	
that the above-captioned case be continued from the Defendant sets forth the following: 1. This is the continuance filed by the	e Defendant in the present case. The Special Assistant
United States Attorney does / does not obj	ect to this motion.
3. I understand that if this motion is granted, Court docket, and that warrant.	I must next appear in court at 9:00 a.m. on the
Submitted on: Jone 1, 2012.	Defendant or Attorney for Defendant
The Court finds that the ends of justice served by the granting of such a continuance outweigh the best interest of the public in a speedy trial for the following reasons:	
 [] Failure to do would likely result in a miscarriage of justice. [] The usual nature or complexity of the case makes it unreasonable to expect the Defendant to adequately prepare for pretrial proceedings or trial with the time limits set forth in 18 USC 3161. [] Failure to so would deny the Defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel; [] Failure to do so would unreasonably deny the Defendant continuity of counsel; [] Failure to do so would deny the Defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 	
Accordingly the continuance is ALLOWE 8/13/14 Is excluded from speedy trial computa	D. The intervening time from 6/11/14 to tion under 18 USC 3161.
In 11, 2014	
Date	\$141 n
	United States Magnitrate Judge
	Office States Magistrate Judge

٠. : .. .